# EXHIBIT C

Seagate Redacted Version of Plaintiffs' Exhibit 28

TION OF PPORT OF
PORT OF
PORT OF
PPORT OF CLASS
PPORT OF CLASS
PPORT OF CLASS
PPORT OF CLASS

010581-11 990646V1

#### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

1	SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHI		ON LLP	
$_{2}$	A Limited Liability Partnersh Including Professional Corpo			
4	NEIL A.F. POPOVIĆ, Cal. Bar	No 132403		
3	ANNA S. McLEAN, Cal. Bar N			
	TENAYA RODEWALD, Cal. I			
4	MUKUND H. SHARMA, Cal.			
	LIÊN H. PAYNE, Cal. Bar No.			
5	JOY O. SIU, Cal. Bar No. 3076			
	Four Embarcadero Center, 17 <sup>th</sup>			
6	San Francisco, California 94111 Telephone: 415.434.9100	1-4109		
7	Telephone: 415.434.9100 Facsimile: 415.434.3947			
´	Email: npopovic@shepp	oardmullin.com		
8	amclean@sheppa			
	trodewald@shep	pardmullin.com	l	
9	msharma@shepp			
10	lpayne@sheppar			
10	jsiu@sheppardm	ullin.com		
11	Attorneys for Defendant,			
11	SEAGATE TECHNOLOGY, L	LC		
12				
13				
14	U	NITED STATES	S DISTRICT COURT	
14	NOR	THERN DIST	RICT OF CALIFORNIA	
15	NON		der of each order	
		SAN FRANC	ISCO DIVISION	
16				
1.7				
17	IN RE SEAGATE TECHNOLO	CV IIC	Case No. 3:16-cv-00:	522 ICS
18	LITIGATION	MI, LLC	Case No. 5.10-cv-00.	323 JC3
			DEFENDANT SEA	GATE TECHNOLOGY
19				ENTAL RESPONSES
	CONSOLIDATED ACTION		TO PLAINTIFF CH	
20			NELSON'S FIRST	
21			INTERROGATOR	IES
_1				
22				
	PROPOUNDING PARTY:	PLAINTIFF (	CHRISTOPHER NELSO	ON
23				
24	RESPONDING PARTY:	SEAGATE T	ECHNOLOGY, LLC	
24	SET NO.:	ONE		
25	SET NO	ONE		
26				
_				
27				
28				
20				~
			-1-	Case No. 3:16-cv-00523-JC

DEFENDANT'S SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFF'S FIRST SET OF

INTERROGATORIES

SMRH:483623802.2

#### 

### 

Defendant Seagate Technology LLC ("Seagate") hereby supplements its responses to Interrogatories Number 1, 2, and 8 in the First Set of Interrogatories propounded by plaintiff Christopher Nelson ("Plaintiff").

## GENERAL STATEMENT AND OBJECTIONS GENERAL OBJECTIONS

- 1. The following responses are made solely for purposes of this action. Each response is subject to all objections as to competence, relevance, materiality, propriety and admissibility, and any and all other objections and grounds which would require the exclusion of any statements contained herein, if such statements were made by a witness present and testifying at court, all of which objections and grounds are reserved and may be interposed at the time of trial.
- 2. The following responses are based upon information presently available to Seagate. Seagate is not making any incidental or implied admissions regarding the contents of these responses. Seagate's objections, and any subsequent responses, are at all times subject to such additional or different information as may result from further discovery, investigation, and/or refreshing of recollection. Seagate reserves the right to alter, amend, or supplement any responses it makes to Plaintiff's First Set of Interrogatories. Seagate reserves the right to make any use of, or to introduce at any hearing and at trial, information responsive to the First Set of Interrogatories, but discovered subsequent to the date of any responses to the First Set of Interrogatories, including, but not limited to, any such information obtained in discovery herein. The fact that Seagate has answered part or all of any Interrogatory is not intended to and shall not be construed to be a waiver by Seagate of all or any part of any objections to any Interrogatory.
- 3. Seagate objects to the Interrogatories to the extent they seek information outside the possession, custody, or control of Seagate and that is not within Seagate's personal knowledge.

Case No. 3:16-cv-00523-JCS

#### 1

#### OBJECTIONS TO DEFINITIONS

Seagate objects to the definition of SEAGATE or YOU as overbroad to the extent

2

Plaintiffs purport to ask for privileged information from Seagate attorneys.

4

5

#### **RESPONSES TO INTERROGATORIES**

#### **INTERROGATORY NO. 1:**

1.

6 7 State the total annual unit sales, by year and by state, of Hard Drives sold within the United States.

8

#### **SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 1:**

9

10

11

Seagate incorporates the General Objections set forth above to the extent applicable. Seagate objects to this interrogatory because it is premature and seeks information irrelevant to class certification or liability. Seagate further objects to this interrogatory because it seeks sensitive commercial and business information protected by California law. Subject to and

Pursuant to the Parties' meet and confer agreement, Seagate agreed to, and did,

1213

without waiving the foregoing objections, Seagate responds as follows:

14

15

provide data responsive to this interrogatory for each of the Drives identified in the Second

16

Consolidated Amended Complaint ("SCAC") by year pursuant to state and federal mediation privileges. *Cf.* Fed. R. Evid. 501 ("[I]n a civil case, state law governs privilege regarding a claim

18

17

or defense for which state law supplies the rule of decision."); Cal. Evid. Code §§ 1115 et seq.; see

19

also Fed. R. Evid. 408 (protecting conduct and communications made for purposes of offers of

2021

compromise or negotiations).

22

23

24

25

#### **INTERROGATORY NO. 2:**

2627

State the total annual revenue, by year and by state, from sales of Hard Drives within the United States.

28

Case No. 3:16-cv-00523-JCS

#### **SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 2:**

Seagate incorporates the General Objections set forth above to the extent applicable. Seagate objects to this interrogatory because it is premature and seeks information irrelevant to class certification or liability. Seagate further objects to this interrogatory because it seeks sensitive commercial and business information protected by California law. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Seagate responds as follows:

Pursuant to the Parties' meet and confer agreement, Seagate agreed to, and did, provide data responsive to this interrogatory for each of the Drives identified in the SCAC by year pursuant to the mediation privilege. *Cf.* Fed. R. Evid. 501 ("[I]n a civil case, state law governs privilege regarding a claim or defense for which state law supplies the rule of decision."); Cal. Evid. Code §§ 1115 *et seq.*; *see also* Fed. R. Evid. 408 (protecting conduct and communications made for purposes of offers of compromise or negotiations).

#### **INTERROGATORY NO. 8:**

State the total annual revenue, by year and by state, from any recovery services paid to You by consumers for Hard Drives sold in the United States.

#### SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 8:

Seagate incorporates the General Objections set forth above to the extent applicable. Seagate objects to this interrogatory to the extent that it seeks sensitive commercial and business information protected by California law. Seagate further objects to this interrogatory on grounds that it seeks information related to consequential damages, which are not recoverable, and thus not relevant to Plaintiff's claims or defenses. As such, the interrogatory falls outside the permissible scope of discovery. Seagate further objects to this interrogatory to the extent it seeks confidential consumer information protected by the California Constitution. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Seagate responds as follows:

-4-

Case No. 3:16-cv-00523-JCS

	Case 3:16-cv-00523-JCS	Document 143-3	Filed 11/13/17	Page 7 of 13
--	------------------------	----------------	----------------	--------------

#### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

1	Pursuant to the Parties' meet and confer agreement, Seagate agreed to, and did,
2	provide data responsive to this interrogatory for each of the Drives identified in the SCAC by year
3	pursuant to state and federal mediation privileges. <i>Cf.</i> Fed. R. Evid. 501 ("[I]n a civil case, state
4	law governs privilege regarding a claim or defense for which state law supplies the rule of
5	decision."); Cal. Evid. Code §§ 1115 et seq.; see also Fed. R. Evid. 408 (protecting conduct and
6	communications made for purposes of offers of compromise or negotiations).
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	Dated: August 18, 2017
13	SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP
14	
15	By <u>/s/ Anna S. McLean</u> NEIL A.F. POPOVIĆ
16	ANNA S. McLEAN MUKUND H. SHARMA
17	TENAYA RODEWALD
18	LIÊN H. PAYNE JOY O. SIU
19	Attorneys for Defendant
20	SEAGATE TECHNOLOGY, LLC
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	_5_ Case No. 3:16-cv-00523-JCS

Table 1: U.S. Net Unit Sales of Drives Containing Drive Model Number ST3000DM001

Year	Business 1 Bay NAS	Business 2 Bay NAS	Business 4 Bay NAS	D3 Station	Desktop Ext. Drive	Barracuda  – Desktop Internal HDD Kit.	FreeAgent GoFlex Desk	FreeAgent GoFlex Home	GoFlex Desk For Mac	Backup Plus Desk	Backup Plus Mac	Seagate Expansion Desk	Seagate Expansion Desk Plus
2011	•	•	•							•	•	•	•
2012		•	•	•									•
2013													
2014					•								
2015					•				•				
2016	•	•						•					
2017*	•	•	•				•	•	•				
Total													

<sup>\*</sup> Data for 2017 is through May 2017.

SMRH:483623798.2 -6-

Table 2: U.S. Net Revenues of Drives Containing Drive Model Number ST3000DM001

Year	Business 1 Bay NAS	Business 2 Bay NAS	Business 4 Bay NAS	D3 Station	Desktop Ext. Drive	Barracuda  – Desktop Internal HDD Kit.	FreeAgent GoFlex Desk	FreeAgent GoFlex Home	GoFlex Desk For Mac	Backup Plus Desk	Backup Plus Mac	Seagate Expansion Desk	Seagate Expansion Desk Plus
2011							-						
2012										5			
2013										5	-		
2014										-	-		
2015										-	-		
2016													
2017*													
Total													

<sup>\*</sup> Data for 2017 is through May 2017.

SMRH:483623798.2 -7-

#### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

#### **VERIFICATION** 2 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 3 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 5 6 I have read the foregoing DEFENDANT SEAGATE TECHNOLOGY, LLC'S SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFFS' FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES and 7 8 know its contents. I am the Director of Supply Chain of Seagate Technology, LLC, a party 9 to this action, and am authorized to make this verification for and on its behalf, and I make this 10 verification for that reason. I am informed and believe and on that ground allege that the matters 11 12 stated in the foregoing document are true. 13 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. 14 Executed on August 10, 2017, at Cuper ting Robbeleth , California. 15 16 Scott Robbeleth 17 Print Name of Signatory 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

SMRH:483623802.2

1 2	PROOF OF SERVICE  In re Seagate Technology LLC Litigation  USDC Case No. 5:16-cy-00523-JCS
3	I am over eighteen years old, not a party to the within action, and made the following
4	service from my place of employment—Sheppard, Mullin, Richter & Hampton, 379
5	Lytton Ave., Palo Alto, CA 94301. On August 18, 2017, I served the following document
6	DEFENDANT SEAGATE TECHNOLOGY, LLC'S SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFF'S FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES
7	
8	in pdf format from my email address ( <u>msharma@sheppardmullin.com</u> ) to the persons at
9	the email addresses listed below:
10	Steve W. Berman
11	steve@hbsslaw.com; heatherw@hbsslaw.com; nicolleg@hbsslaw.com; josephs@hbsslaw.com;
12	• Jeff D Friedman jefff@hbsslaw.com; jeanethd@hbsslaw.com; sf_filings@hbsslaw.com;
13	nicolleg@hbsslaw.com
14	Shana E. Scarlett     shanas@hbsslaw.com
15	Bryan L. Clobes     bclobes@caffertyclobes.com
16	Marc Adam Goldich     mgoldich@axgolaw.com; mstrout@axgolaw.com
17	Ashley A. Bede
18	AshleyB@hbsslaw.com  Noah Axler
19	<ul> <li>naxler@axgolaw.com</li> <li>Nvran Rose Rasche</li> </ul>
20	nrasche@caffertyclobes.com, docket@caffertyclobes.com, snyland@caffertyclobes.com
21	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the
22	foregoing is true and correct and that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar
23	of this Court at whose direction the service was made.
24	
25	Executed on August 18, 2017, at Palo Alto, California.
26	
27	/s/ Mukund H. Sharma
28	Mukund H. Sharma